### Maternal Stress and Offspring Lifelong Labor Market Outcomes

#### Vincenzo Atella\*, Edoardo Di Porto $^{\pm}$ °, Joanna Kopinska\* Maarten Lindeboom°

July 2020



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Roadmap

QUESTION:

Is in-utero stress relevant for labor outcomes?

STRATEGY:

- Link lifelong longitudinal employment histories of ALL Italian workers with granular (city/month) information on episodes of Nazi raids in prenatal period.
- > Focus on individuals born before or in utero at the start of the violence.

TAKEAWAYS:

- Penalty from in-utero stress opens up early lower qualifications and wages at the labor market entry.
- The gap widens during the working career and culminates at the age of 55-60.
- Shock dynamic complementarities MASS LAYOFF episode during the working career penalizes the disadvantaged individuals more.

#### Why do economists care about early-life?

- Effect of environmental conditions on health is strongest in the earliest periods of life, when growth is most rapid (Barker, 1990; Almond and Currie, 2011; Almond et al., 2018).
- Ability gaps between the advantaged and disadvantaged open up in the earliest phases of individual lives.
- Low socioeconomic status pregnant women are more likely to undergo negative experiences while pregnant - disadvantage is transmitted across generations.

#### What do we know about stress in-utero?

- Clinical studies mothers' hormonal response to anxiety/stress has profound impact on neurological development of fetus, and cognitive, emotional and mental problems and stress vulnerability later in life.
- Aizer et al. (2016) show that higher levels of cortisol have small effect on birth weight but have significant negative impact on school attainment and IQ scores at age 7.
- Black et al. (2016) and Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) exploit deaths in family as source of acute stress and rely on mother FE's or randomness in timing of birth.
- Black et al. (2016) find small effects on birth outcomes and no effects on later life SES.
- Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) find significant effects on anti-anxiety and depression medications consumption in adulthood.

#### What is our contribution?

- Start where others stopped: working histories of the universe of Italian private sector male workers form the Italian social security and welfare institute (INPS).
- Exploit dynamic complementarities of shocks lightening that strikes twice - mass layoff episode during working career.
- Exploit quasi-experimental setting of the WWII conflicts onset on the 8<sup>th</sup> of September 1943 Armistice.
- Among males conceived all before Armistice, analyze differences between cohorts born in municipalities before and after Nazi rides, relative to the same cohorts born in municipalities that saw no violence.

#### WWII evolution and Nazi violence

- WWII in Italy began in 1940, but a crucial date was Armistice 18:00 on 8th Sept 1943 - when Italy surrendered to Allied forces
- ▶ The Armistice represented the onset of WWII conflicts
- The Armistice gave rise to the outbreak of **violent Nazi raids**.

Nazi episodes data - "The Atlas of Nazi and Fascist massacres", all raid episodes and **number of victims** by age, gender, civil/partisan, character and by **month/municipality** 

Couple the data with ISTAT data "Morti e dispersi per cause belliche negli anni 1940-45", victim toll of WWII by month/province

#### WWII evolution and Nazi violence

- The average duration of raids was of 1.4 days, 90% of them lasted 1 day only.
- On average raids involved 4 victims, 90% of episodes had less then 32 victims.



North — Center ···· South

#### Nazi episodes data

- > Episodes aimed at both the civil population and at resistance fighters
- more than 76% of victims were civilian, and 22% of aimed at resistance fighters.
- Rest was rooted in racial ideology aiming at disrupting social dimension by perpetrating terror.





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで



くして 前 ふかく 山下 ふゆう ふしゃ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

æ



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶ ─ 差 − のへぐ



・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・



・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへぐ



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

æ



・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 日・ シック



<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

æ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・



・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### INPS working histories data

Link **potential exposure** to Nazi raids with individual level INPS data on the universe of male workers in the period 1974-2017 according to **month/municipality** of birth:

- Employees' labour outcomes working histories from 1974 onwards, earnings, qualifications, contract terminations etc.
- Employers employer-employee matched data retrieve mass layoff episodes.
- > Pensions and disabilities work pensions and disability collection.
- Demographic characteristics age at first employment, age at retirement, age at death.

#### Data selection

 conceived before Armistice: born January 1943 - May 1944 (R: we estimate alternative specifications where instead of the 9-month window we adopt 6-24 months windows)



- as we exploit potential exposure, we focus on workers born in towns with population under 200k (R: none, 500, 100, 75k) - shock dispersion, political reasons, repeated violence, food shortages.
- exposure period = 9 months backwards from month/year of birth (R: 6, 7, 8, 18, 24 months)

#### Mass layoffs

- how does the gap evolve under dynamic complementarities between in-utero stress exposure and random later life labour shocks?
- if according to Heckman (2007) "skill begets skill", disadvantage from an in-utero shock is likely to bolster disadvantage from a future shock.
- Identify episodes of contract termination as a result of a mass layoff (Sullivan and Wachter, 2009)
- Mass layoff: TotEmpl > 25 workers, and  $TotEmpl_t/TotEmpl_{t-1} < .7$
- Match firm layoff episodes with individual contract termination between the age of 45 and 65.

#### Identification strategy

- Conflicts struck on the 8<sup>th</sup> of Sept 1943 cohorts born just months apart experienced markedly different in-utero conditions.
- Nazi violence incidence varied idiosyncratically across municipalities similar pregnant mothers experienced strikingly different environments while pregnant.

$$y_{imt}^{a} = \beta_{0}^{a} + \beta_{1}^{a} Naz_{imt} + \beta_{2}^{a} War_{pt} + \alpha_{m}^{a} + \gamma_{t}^{a} + \delta_{tr}^{a} + \epsilon_{imt}^{a}$$
(1)

- We estimate age specific models, a = 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60
- ► *Nazi<sub>mt</sub>* is a dummy variable for individuals born in municipalities which had an episode of violence in the 9 months before birth.
- ▶ *War<sub>pt</sub>* is a continuous z-score of the number of war deaths in the province of birth per 100k residents in the 9 months before birth.
- α<sub>m</sub> and γ<sub>t</sub> are municipality and time of birth (year x month) fixed effects, while δ<sub>tr</sub> are 21 region specific time trends.

#### Descriptives - unconditional wage differentials



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへぐ

#### Earnings

	earn 30	earn 35	earn 40	earn 45	earn 50	earn 55	earn 60
Nazi raid	-0.0218***	-0.0238***	-0.0155**	-0.0177**	-0.0268***	-0.0254**	-0.0551***
9mths	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0077)	(0.0085)	(0.0120)	(0.0194)
WWII casualties	-0.0065**	-0.0073**	-0.0046	-0.0053	-0.0052	-0.0115**	-0.0118*
(SD)	(0.0032)	(0.0031)	(0.0030)	(0.0034)	(0.0036)	(0.0048)	(0.0064)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.1514	0.1437	0.1391	0.1432	0.1348	0.1418	0.1712
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
TIME FEs	YES						
CITY FEs	YES						
REG TRENDS	YES						

\*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.1

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice onset (Jan 1943 - May 1944), and refer to each age specific outcomes between the ages pf 30 and 60. All regressions include month-of-year and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Qualifications

	Blue collar 30	Blue collar 35	Blue collar 40	Blue collar 45	Blue collar 50	Blue collar 55	Blue collar 60
Nazi raid	0.0222***	0.0028	0.0176***	0.0172***	0.0184***	0.0277***	0.0370***
9mths	(0.0055)	(0.0067)	(0.0063)	(0.0062)	(0.0065)	(0.0072)	(0.0109)
WWII casualties	0.0030	0.0039**	0.0062***	062*** 0.0048** 0.0050 0022) (0.0023) (0.002		0.0064**	0.0027
(SD)	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0022)			(0.0030)	(0.0039)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.0885	0.0965	0.1036	0.1107	0.1160	0.1658	0.1976
N	211,714	207,515	187,135	170,830	158,232	101,124	47,582
TIME FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
CITY FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
REG TRENDS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

 $^{***}\rho < 0.01, ^{**}\rho < 0.05, ^{*}\rho < 0.1$ 

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice onset (Jan 1943 - May 1944), and refer to each age specific outcomes between the ages pf 30 and 60. All regressions include month-of-year and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### In-utero vs early life

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60			
			Pan	el A						
Baseline model [29 0] window										
Nazi raid	-0.0218***	-0.0238***	-0.0155**	-0.0177**	-0.0268***	-0.0254**	-0.0551***			
in utero	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0077)	(0.0085)	(0.0120)	(0.0194)			
Baseline mod	lel [-24.9] windo	(******) w	(0.000.0)	(0.000.1)	(0.0000)	(0.0100)	(0.020.1)			
Nazi raid	-0.0224***	-0.0278***	-0.0199***	-0.0235***	-0.0316***	-0.0219**	-0.0502***			
in utero	(0.0073)	(0.0064)	(0.0061)	(0.0065)	(0.0075)	(0.0099)	(0.0161)			
	Panel R									
First and Sec	ond vear effects	model [-24.9] wi	ndow							
Nazi raid	-0.0177**	-0.0337***	-0.0137**	-0.0206***	-0.0342***	-0.0225**	-0.0472***			
in utero	(0.0084)	(0.0076)	(0.0067)	(0.0069)	(0.0081)	(0.0111)	(0.0190)			
Nazi raid	0.0131	-0.0057	0.0038	0.0027	-0.0003	0.0038	0.0205			
1st year	(0.0092)	(0.0067)	(0.0058)	(0.0063)	(0.0072)	(0.0112)	(0.0188)			
Nazi raid	0.0229	0.0011	0.0043	0.0037	-0.0015	0.0013	0.0042			
2nd year	(0.0177)	(0.0068)	(0.0060)	(0.0064)	(0.0073)	(0.0111)	(0.0183)			
$R^2$	0.1391	0.1371	0.1240	0.1324	0.1152	0.1173	0.1357			
N	376,895	386,610	354,811	319,900	299,326	191,942	89,267			
WWII	YES									
TIME FEs	YES									
CITY FEs	YES									
REG FEs	YES									

\*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.1

Notes: The top row of panel A refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice onset (Jan 1943 - May 1944), while the bottom row of panel A and panel B refer to individuals born in the 24 month prior Armistice to 9 month after armistice window (Sept 1941 - May 1944), the columns refer to each age specific outcomes between the ages of 30 and 60. All regressions include monthly date and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

# Effect of mass layoff on log earnings in the following period.

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
layoff	-0.3061***	-0.2648***	-0.3077***	-0.3293***
	(0.0105)	(0.0100)	(0.0129)	(0.0195)
Nazi raid	-0.0245***	-0.0238***	-0.0208*	-0.0528***
	(0.0078)	(0.0082)	(0.0122)	(0.0197)
layoff $ imes$ Nazi massacre	- 0.0223	-0.0603**	-0.0881**	-0.0135
	(0.0351)	(0.0294)	(0.0415)	(0.0603)
WWII casualties	-0.0062*	-0.0067*	-0.0105***	-0.0049
	(0.0034)	(0.0034)	(0.0049)	(0.0070)
$R^2$	0.1500	0.1427	0.1682	0.2006
N	155,491	145,806	85,247	39,305
TIME FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
MUNICIPALITY FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
REGION TRENDS	YES	YES	YES	YES

 $^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$ 

*Notes*: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice onset (Jan 1943 - May 1944), and refer to each age specific outcomes between the ages of 45 and 60. All regressions include month-of-year and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

# Mass layoff on probability of death in 10 years following the event.

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
layoff	0.0044	0.0034	0.0137**	0.0165*
	(0.0030)	(0.0033)	(0.0055)	(0.0086)
nazi massacre	0.0005	-0.0034	-0.0084	-0.0114
	(0.0026)	(0.0033)	(0.0044)	(0.0083)
layoff $ imes$ nazi massacre	-0.0031	-0.0011	0.0071	-0.0170
	(0.0110)	(0.0110)	(0.0190)	(0.0262)
WWII casualties	-0.0004	-0.0005	0.0007	-0.0005
	(0.0008)	(0.0011)	(0.0016)	(0.0026)
$R^2$	0.0422	0.0461	0.0662	0.1107
Ν	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
TIME FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
MUNICIPALITY FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
REGION TRENDS	YES	YES	YES	YES

 $^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$ 

*Notes*: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice onset (Jan 1943 - May 1944), and refer to each age specific outcomes between the ages of 45 and 60. All regressions include month-of-year and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

### Selection, sensitivity and randomness

#### Selection:

- Conception
- In-utero: Mortality due to pregnancy complications increases with WWII intensity, the effect of Nazi violence incidence is null.
- Later life: check for cohort composition and for attrition in INPS data.
  (rig) (

#### Assignment of treatment:

- Random assignment of Nazi raids (municipality characteristics)
- Random assignment of layoffs wrt Nazi raids

#### Sensitivity:

- Municipality size
- Month window size

#### Is it stress?

 Analyze impact of Nazi raids on different types of health expenditure effect on mental and neurological ATC related drugs.

# Sensitivity to municipality size - the effect of Nazi raids on age specific log earnings



*Notes*: Nazi exposure in utero among individuals born in the [-9,9] month window ariund the Armistice in subsamples which include municipalities with progressively increasing resident population size (under 100,000, under 200,000, under 500,000, no cap).

Sensitivity to municipality size - the effect of WWII casualties on age specific log earnings



*Notes*: WWII exposure in utero among individuals born in the [-9,9] month window ariund the Armistice in subsamples which include municipalities with progressively increasing resident population size (under 100,000, under 200,000, under 500,000, no cap).

#### Random assignment - placebo



#### Earinings



ヘロト 人間ト 人団ト 人団ト

э

*Notes*: Pseudo-treatment vs. actual Nazi raids: the distribution of t-statistics resulting from 5,000 random assignments of treatment to individuals, as well as the t-statistics from the actual treatment (red line).

#### Conclusions

- Exposure to stress in utero exerts a negative effect on long-run earnings
- The results driven by qualifications and unemployment spells, no effects of Nazi raids on disability and mortality.
- Different working career trajectories depend on early-life events which also determine the response to further adverse events.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Results robust to various sensitivity and robustness checks.

#### THANK YOU

# Effect of WWII intensity and Nazi rides on mortality rate from pregnancy complications

mortality rate						
pregnancy co	pregnancy complications					
no nazi massacres	- 00092					
	(-0.21)					
WWII casualties (SD)	.0247***					
victims	(2.74)					
r2	0.45					
Ν	132					
**** p < 0.01,*** p <	0.05,* p <					
0.1						

Notes: The sample of 132 refers to 21 regions in 6 years (1941-1946). All regressions include year and region fixed effects as well as robust standard errors (t statistics in parentheses).

#### Event study - probability of death



The figure is constructed alineating all Nazi violence episodes on a homogenous time scale testing for lags and leads effects, controlling for municipality and year/month fixed effects

back

#### Selection and attrition

back

	in uni30	in uni40	in uni50	empl30	empl40	empl50	alive50	dead40	dead50
					-				
t-7	02735	02749	06866	08539	08561	12515	18968	00773	.02592
	28777	28747	27646	40486	40646	42494	64284	00863	03993
t-6	.11522	.11744	.07658	.1102	.10734	.0738	.03177	00324	.02433
	26392	26369	25503	36824	36974	38315	57154	00832	03405
t-5	24098	24116	26413	25395	25813	28103	38738	.00064	.01174
	19808	19796	19159	28727	28868	30116	44675	00888	03038
t-4	.06128	.06248	.00622	.07924	.08128	.02842	03365	0052	.0336
	18875	18866	18162	24855	24979	25629	37347	00703	02527
t-3	.09898	.10354	.08619	.18068	.18204	.16	.17503	00505	.00599
	14283	14273	13941	1901	19099	1953	27936	00675	02061
t-2	.11248	.1178	.1097	.07077	.0727	.06164	.14466	00279	.01221
	14597	14569	14178	18151	18298	18039	23559	00699	0174
t	00525	00334	0012	.00288	00098	01167	0482	.00236	00076
	10238	10238	10063	11968	12042	12175	15955	00641	0164
t+1	.06281	.06241	.05174	.13046	.1248	.10973	.1093	.01051	.0206
	13544	1351	13063	16792	16821	17186	23772	00802	02051
t+2	.18641	.19234	.19028	.19373	.19711	.17865	.24301	.011	.02671
	17037	1704	16289	22727	22837	23441	34625	00772	02485
t+3	.0339	.03682	.0558	.04898	.04569	.04981	.08336	.01044	.00217
	20472	20478	19768	27089	27206	28483	42052	0084	0294
t+4	0.69901	0.69719	0.70412	0.94037	0.93393	0.91570	1.19221	0.01585	.02668
	3775	3773	36619	51108	51269	51678	72085	0089	03655
t+5	.38989	.38892	.41075	.53762	.53937	.54226	.65412	.01076	00001
	31836	31789	30678	41704	4188	43618	6383	01016	04037
t+6	.45144	.45353	.48074	.53515	.53303	.5339	.60028	.01298	00238
	37677	37665	36325	50136	50322	52173	77027	01038	0454
t+7	.26007	.25802	.29562	.31917	.31075	.31943	.43406	0.02239	00045
	37843	37799	36166	52181	52408	54862	83786	01077	05114
Obs.	25,905	25,905	25,905	25,905	25,905	25,905	25,905	25,905	25,905

\*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*p < 0.1

Notes: The sample of 25,905 refers to 1,727 episodes of rides in the 7 month window around their onset, the dependent variable are the cohort sizes observed in the private market labor dataset at the age of 30, 40 and 50, as well as all individuals employed (also outside of the private market), and finally individuals alive at the age of 50, individuals dead at the age of 40 and 50. All regressions include month-of-year, monthly date, and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific trends. Standard errors (t statistics in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.